KEY FINDINGS

Judicial independence in Türkiye from 2013 to 2026

SRL Swiss | EN | 25.10.2026

Across 126 case records, the report identifies recurring concerns over professional safeguards, effective judicial review and implementation of judgments.

1. Changes of duties after judicial decisions require examination of individual reasons; timing alone does not prove punishment.

2. Bilgen, Eminağaoğlu and Kartal illustrate access-to-court problems affecting judicial officers' professional measures.

3. Release and retrial decisions must be assessed by their practical results. Reopening a case alone does not establish effective implementation.

4. Case numbers, application counts and unique individuals are different. The report does not derive a nationwide victim total.

Priority: reasoned professional measures, independent review and monitoring of concrete implementation outcomes.

Evidence limit: 31 records cite HRD alone. A documented measure and a judicial finding of a violation have different evidential status.

SRL Swiss, Judicial Independence Monitoring Report, 17 December 2013–20 October 2026, pp. 2–5 and 73–76. Summarises that source and its stated cut-off; not a subsequent case-status update.Source PDF